

APPENDIX N - Part 4

APPENDIX F – LOCAL PLAN ALLOCATIONS SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL

Alrewas

Site A2



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site records a significant negative effect against three of the Site Specific Questions relating to Sustainability Objective 1. Surveys confirm that there is significant use of the site by protected and priority species and this effect is mirrored against the protected and priority habitats focused Site Specific Question.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.
- The site falls within a mineral consultation area relating to sand and gravel drift, this location results in a significant negative effect being recorded against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 4.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The development of the site will result in the loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site falls within the National Forest and the Central River Initiative, the potential for the site to produce a positive effect has been recorded through a minor positive with uncertainty against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 5.
- The site is adjacent to a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15 and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4, 6 and 12.

Alrewas

Site A3




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site falls within the National Forest and the Central River Initiative the potential for the site to produce a positive effect has been recorded through a minor positive with uncertainty against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 5.
- The site is adjacent to a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15 and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4, 6 and 12.

Alrewas

Site A4



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site has been previously developed and as such records a significant positive effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The previously developed nature of the site enables minor positive effects against Sustainability Objective 5, 8 and 9 to be recorded.
- The site falls within the National Forest and the Central River Initiative the potential for the site to produce a positive effect has been recorded through a minor positive with uncertainty against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 5.
- The site is adjacent to a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15 and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4, 6 and 12.
- The site is located within a conservation area and has a locally listed building within its curtilage, resulting in minor negative effects being recorded against Sustainability Objective 3.

Alrewas

Site A5



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site falls within the National Forest and the Central River Initiative the potential for the site to produce a positive effect has been recorded through a minor positive with uncertainty against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 5.
- The site is adjacent to a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15 and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4, 6 and 12.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.

Armitage

Site AH1



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The landscape character record against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question “does it respect and protect existing landscape character” Sustainability Objective 2.
- The site falls within a mineral consultation area relating to sand and gravel drift, this results in a significant negative effect being recorded against Sustainability Objective 2.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site is adjacent to a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15 and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4, 6 and 12.

East of Rugeley

Site R1




Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located a distance away from both Armitage with Handsacre and Rugeley and therefore significant negative effects in relation to Sustainability Objective 4 has been recorded.
- The site, due to its previously developed nature returns a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site records a significant positive effect in relation to encouraging the use of existing sustainable modes of travel.
- The effect of the potential change of use of this site from employment to housing is recorded as a significant negative against Sustainability Objective 14.

Fazeley

Site FZ2




Likely Significant Effect

- The site records a significant negative effect in regard to Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 1. The significant negative effect is recorded in response to survey evidence identifying protected and priority species.
- The site records significant positive and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objective 3, these effects reflect the potential to bring back into full use a Grade II Listed building, currently deemed at risk.
- The site is within a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15 and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4, 6 and 12.
- The site due to its previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.

Fazeley

Site FZ3



Likely Significant Effect

- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site records significant minor effect against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 2, relating to the sites ability to value and protect locally distinctive settlement and townscape character.
- The site is adjacent to a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15 and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4, 6 and 12.

Fradley

Site F1




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site is located within Source Protection Zone 1, and as such a significant negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 9.
- The site is within a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15 and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4 and 12.

North of Tamworth

Site NT1




Likely Significant Effect

- Surveys have identified protected and priority species on site, as such a significant negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 1.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- Potential impact on a Grade II Listed building accounts for the significant negative effect recorded as against Sustainability Objective 3.
- The site records a significant negative effect in relation to effect on traffic sensitive areas.
- The opportunity for improving transport accessibility has been captured as a significant positive effect in relation to Sustainability Objective 6.
- A significant positive effect against three of the Site Specific Questions, Sustainability Objective 11 relating to meeting local housing need.

North of Tamworth

Site NT2




Under Construction

Likely Significant Effect

- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site records against Sustainability Objective 6 a significant positive effect in relation to encouraging use of existing sustainable modes of travel and a minor positive effect traffic sensitive areas.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.
- A significant positive effect against three of the Site Specific Questions, Sustainability Objective 11 relating to meeting local housing need.

Other Rural

Site HR1 (255)




Under Construction

Likely Significant Effect

- The development has the potential to have an impact on the River Mease Special Area of Conservation, as such a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 9, Site Specific Question 2 has been recorded.
- The sites records significant and minor positive effects in relation to Sustainability Objective 12.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Other Rural

Site HR1 (135)




Under Construction

Likely Significant Effect

- The sites records significant and minor positive effects in relation to Sustainability Objective 12.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.
- In Sustainability Objective 11 significant positive effects are scored against 3 of the site specific questions in relation to housing provision.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.

Other Rural

Site OR1




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site records a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 1, survey data indicates the presence of a bat roost.
- The site records a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 6. Packington Hall Landscape Park is included within the site boundary.
- The site is isolated, this is recorded through significant negative effects against indicators included as part of Sustainability Objective 4 and 6.
- The majority of the site has been previously developed and as such a significant positive effects against Sustainability Indicator 5.
- The sites records a significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 3, which reflects the potential to bring back into full use a Grade II building currently at risk.

Other Rural

Site OR3



Planning Permission Secured


Likely Significant Effect

- The site is isolated, this is recorded as a significant negative against indicators within Sustainability Objective 4.
- The site falls within a mineral consultation area relating to sand and gravel drift this results in a significant negative effect being recorded against Sustainability Objective 2.
- The site includes a Historic Environment Area feature, and as such a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 7 has been recorded.
- Due to the majority of the site being previously developed a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.

The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Other Rural

Site OR4




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site falls within a mineral consultation area relating to sand and gravel drift this results in a significant negative effect being recorded against Sustainability Objective 2.
- The site is isolated this is recorded as significant negative effects against indicator in Sustainability Objective 4.
- Due to the majority of the site being previously developed significant positive effects in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 has been recorded.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Other Rural

Site OR5




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- Due to the majority of the site being previously developed significant positive effects in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site falls within a mineral consultation area relating to sand and gravel drift this results in a significant negative effect being recorded against Sustainability Objective 2.
- The site is isolated as such a significant negative effect is recorded against indicators included as part of Sustainability Objective 4.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Other Rural

Site HR2




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such a significant negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 9 Site Specific Question 4.
- The site records a significant negative effect in relation to encouraging the use of existing sustainable modes of travel.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site records a significant positive effect against three of the Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 11.

Other Rural

Site OR7




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site records a significant negative effect against three of the Site Specific Questions associated with Sustainability Objective 1. Surveys confirm that there is significant use of the site by protected and priority species and this is mirrored against the protected and priority habitats focused Site Specific Question.
- Separated from any settlement the site records a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site records significant and minor positive effects in relation to Sustainability Objective 12.
- A significant positive effect has been recorded against three of the Site Specific Indicators included as part of measuring effect on Sustainability Objective 11, relating to meeting local housing need.
- The site is separated from the settlement of Lichfield however it has been assumed that future residents would use facilities within Lichfield Town Centre, this results in significant positive effects being recorded against Sustainability Objective 15.

Other Rural

Site OR8



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site records a significant negative effect against three of the Site Specific Questions associated with Sustainability Objective 1. Surveys confirm that there is significant use of the site by protected and priority species.
- The site is isolated and located a significant distance away from services as such significant negative effects has been recorded against Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Indicator 4.
- The site has been previously developed and as such returns a significant positive effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site records a significant positive effect in relation to traffic sensitive areas.

Harlaston

Site H1



Likely Significant Effect

- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.
- The site records a significant negative effect against one of the Site Specific Questions associated with Sustainability Objective 1. Surveys confirm that there is significant use of the site by protected and priority species.
- The site records two significant negative effects against Sustainability Objective 6 which relates to sustainable transport.
- The site records a positive significant effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 4.
- The site is located within 480 metres of one or more areas of accessible open space and therefore records a significant positive effect against Sustainability Objective 12.

Site is located within 480m of one or more areas of accessible open space.

-

Shenstone

Site S1




Likely Significant Effect

- A significant negative effect is recorded reflecting of the flood risk zones associated with the site.
- The effect of the potential change of use of this site from employment to housing is recorded as a significant negative against Sustainability Objective 14.
- The site is adjacent to a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15, and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4, 6 and 12.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Whittington

Site W2




Likely Significant Effect

- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is adjacent to a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15 and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4, 6 and 12.

Whittington

Site W3




Likely Significant Effect

- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is located within the conservation area and as such a significant negative effect in regard to Sustainability Objective 3, Site Specific Question 3 has been recorded.
- The site is within a rural settlement which has a number of existing services resulting in significant positive effects being identified against Sustainability Objective 12 and 15 and minor positive effects against Sustainability Objectives 4, 6 and 12.

Employment

Site EMP 1 (ELAA 97)



Likely Significant Effect

- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- In regard to Sustainability Objective 5 which focuses on transport, the site records a significant negative effect in regard to Site Specific Question 1 “encouraging the use of existing sustainable modes of transport”. In contrast the site records a significant positive effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 5, which relates to potential opportunities for the development of sustainable transport modes.
- The site is directly connected to AQMA resulting in a significant negative effect being returned for Sustainable Objective 9, Site Specific Question 3.
- Due to the nature of the allocation, employment, the site records significant positive effects against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.

Employment

Site EMP 1 (ELAA 105)




Likely Significant Effect

- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is directly connected to AQMA resulting in a significant negative effect being returned for Sustainable Objective 9, Site Specific Question 3.
- A minor negative effect is recorded against Sustainability 2, Site Specific Question 7 due to the close proximity to a Historic Environment Area.
- Due to the nature of the allocation, employment, the site records significant positive effects against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.

Employment

Site EMP 1 (ELAA 113)




Likely Significant Effect

- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5 Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is directly connected to AQMA resulting in a significant negative effect being returned for Sustainable Objective 9, Site Specific Question 3.
- A minor negative effect is recorded against Sustainability 2, Site Specific Question 7 due to the close proximity to a Historic Environment Areas.
- Due to the nature of the allocation, employment, the site records significant positive effects against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.

Employment

Site EMP 2




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site is directly connected to AQMA resulting in a significant negative effect being returned for Sustainable Objective 9, Site Specific Question 3.
- Due to the nature of the allocation, employment, the site records a significant positive effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.

Employment

Site EMP 3




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site is directly connected to AQMA resulting in a significant negative effect being returned for Sustainable Objective 9, Site Specific Question 3.
- Due to the nature of the allocation, employment, the site records a significant positive effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.

Gypsy and Traveller

Site GT21



Likely Significant Effect

- Due to the nature of the allocation, gypsy and traveller site, of the site records a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 11, Site Specific Question 2, “increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups”. In contrast the site records significant positive effect against Sustainability Objective 11, Site Specific Question 4, “meet the needs of the travelling community and show people”.
- The site is previously developed and therefore a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 has been recorded.

Burntwood

Site B1



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- Due to loss of potential employment use the site records significant negative effects against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- Due to the previously developed nature of the site a significant positive effect is recorded in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood and as such records a significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records a minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.

Burntwood

Site B2



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- Due to loss of potential employment use the site records a significant negative effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- Due to the sites previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood and as such records a significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records a minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.

Burntwood

Site B3



Likely Significant Effect

- Due to loss of potential employment use the site records a significant negative effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- Due to the sites previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood and as such records a significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records a minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.

Burntwood

Site B4



Likely Significant Effect

- Due to loss of potential employment use the site records a significant negative effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- A minor negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Questions 1 and 2, there is a potential for protected and priority species.
- The potential loss of open space has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 12.
- Due to the sites previously developed nature a significant positive effect is recorded respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood and as such records a significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records a minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.

Burntwood

Site B5




Likely Significant Effect

- Due to the sites previously developed nature it records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site recorded a minor negative effect in relation to Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 2. An element of the site includes protected and priority habitat.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood and as such records a significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records a minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.

Burntwood

Site B7




Likely Significant Effect

- Due to the sites previously developed nature it records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site recorded a significant negative effect in relation to Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 2. The vacant site is currently semi improved/acid grassland which is a priority habitat.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4 Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records minor positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.

Burntwood

Site B8



Likely Significant Effect

- Due to loss of potential employment use the site records a significant against effects against all 4 Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- The site recorded a minor negative effect in relation to Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 1. There is potential for the site to support protected and priority species.
- Due to the sites previously developed nature it records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4 Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.

Burntwood

Site B10




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site recorded a minor negative effect in relation to Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 2. The vacant site is in part currently semi improved /acid grassland which is a priority habitat.
- Due to the sites previously developed nature it records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood records significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4 Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15 Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Burntwood

Site B13



Under Construction

Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood records significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4 Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15 Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records minor positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.
- Due to loss of potential employment use the site records a significant against effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- The site records a minor negative effect against Sustainability Objective 6, Site Specific Question 3, as there is potentially insufficient space to accommodate cycle facilities within the site.
- Due to the sites previously developed nature it records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.

Burntwood

Site B16




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- Due to loss of potential employment use the site records a significant negative effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- The site recorded a significant negative effect in relation to Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 1, survey data indicated protected species.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood and records significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4 Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15 Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records a minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.
- Due to the sites previously developed nature a significant positive effect is recorded in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.

Burntwood

Site B17



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- Due to the sites previously developed nature a significant positive effect is recorded in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood records significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4 Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15 Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records a minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.

Burntwood

Site B20




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood a significant positive effect is recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records a minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.
- Due to the sites previously developed nature a significant positive effect is recorded in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- Due to the site currently being vacant and demolition of the previous structure taking place some years ago the site records a significant positive effect against Site Specific Question four, Sustainability Objective 5.

Burntwood

Site B21



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located within the main settlement of Burntwood a significant positive effect is recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records a minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.
- Due to the sites previously developed nature a significant positive effect is recorded in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.

Lichfield

Site L1



Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located within a conservation area, adjacent to listed buildings and also has the potential to effect views towards Lichfield Cathedral, as such minor negative effects has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 3 and Sustainability Objective 4.
- The site is in close proximity to a scheduled ancient monument and within an area of significant archaeological potential this results in a minor negative effect being recorded against Sustainable Objective 2, Site Specific Question 7.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. Due to the sites previously developed nature a significant positive effect is recorded in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.

Lichfield

Site L2



Likely Significant Effect

- The Mare Brook runs along the boundary of the site resulting in a minor negative effect being recorded against encouragement of ecological connectivity, Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 4.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is located significant positive against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4 which relates to the creation of places and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3 which relates to contributing positively to existing settlements.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Lichfield

Site L3




Under Construction

Likely Significant Effect

- Due to loss of potential employment use the site records significant negative effects against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 12.
- Due to the previously developed nature of the site a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 is recorded.
- A significant negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Questions 2, the site comprises of semi – improved grassland.
- The site adjoins a conservation area and therefore a minor negative effect has been recorded against Sustainable Objective 3, Site Specific Question 3.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L4




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.
- The site is in close proximity to a scheduled ancient monument and a Historic Environment point: Lichfield Town Defences, therefore a minor negative effect being recorded against Sustainable Objective 2, Site Specific Question 7.
- The site is adjacent to listed buildings and a conservation area and as such a minor negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 3.
- Due to the previously developed nature of the site a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 has been recorded.

Lichfield

Site L5 (19)




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site records a significant negative effect against three of the Site Specific Questions attached to Sustainability Objective 1, the site includes semi improved grassland and is connected to an established network of other priority habitats.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3. Due to the previously developed nature of the site a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 is recorded.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Lichfield

Site L5 (89-90)




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site recorded a significant negative effects against three of the Site Specific Questions attached to Sustainability Objective 1, the site includes semi improved grassland and is connected to an established network of other priority habitats.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Lichfield

Site L5 (1065)




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site recorded significant negative effect against three of the Site Specific Questions attached to Sustainability Objective 1, the site includes semi improved grassland and is connected to an established network of other priority habitats.
- The site would result in a loss of quality agricultural land which is recorded as a significant negative effect.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Lichfield

Site L6



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- Due to loss of potential employment use the site records significant negative effects against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- Due to the previously developed nature of the site a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 has been recorded.
- The site records a minor negative effect in relation to Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 1, following the potential for the site to support protected and priority species.
- The site recorded minor negative effects against Site Specific Question 6 and 7, Sustainability Objective 2. The site is adjacent to a Grade II Registered Park and Garden and in close proximity to an Ancient Monument.
- The site recorded a minor negative effects against Site Specific Question 1 and 3 Sustainability Objective 3. The site lies within a conservation area and adjacent to a number of listed buildings.
- The site recorded minor negative effects against Site Specific Question 2 and 3, Sustainability Objective 4 there is potential for development to impact on the views of Lichfield City.

Lichfield

Site L7



Likely Significant Effect

- The site recorded a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 1 in relation to conservation of protection and priority species.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.
- Due to the previously developed nature of the site a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 has been recorded.

Lichfield

Site L8



Likely Significant Effect

- The site recorded a minor negative effect against safeguarding sites of archaeological importance, Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 7.
- A significant negative effect was recorded against Sustainability Objective 3, Site Specific Question 1 “Will it preserve and enhance buildings and structures and their setting and contribute to the Districts heritage”.
- A minor negative effect has been recorded against the sites potential effect on the historic views and skylines, Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 3.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L9




Likely Significant Effect

- A minor negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 1 and 2, as the site has the potential to support protected and priority species and habitats.
- Due to the previously developed nature of the site a significant negative effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The development of the site will result in the loss of quality agricultural land.
- The site recorded a minor negative effect against safeguarding sites of archaeological importance, Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 7.
- The site is near to Grade II Listed buildings and therefore recorded a minor negative effect against Sustainability Objective 3, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L10




Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield a significant positive effects has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 4 Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15 Site Specific Question 1 and 3. The site also records minor and significant positive effects against all Site Specific Questions identified against Sustainability Objective 12.
- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5 Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is located within Source Protection Zone 1, a significant negative effect has been recorded against Sustainable Objective 9, Site Specific Question 1.
- Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 1 recorded a minor negative effect and Question 2 a significant negative effect. The site consists of semi improved grass land and has the potential to support protected and priority species.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Lichfield

Site L12




Under Construction

Likely Significant Effect

- The site is within a conservation area which is recorded as a minor negative effect against Sustainable Objective 3, Site Specific Question 3.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L13



Under Construction

Likely Significant Effect

- The site due to its previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site recorded a minor negative effect against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 7, due to the site being located within the historic city core.
- The site is located within the conservation area and has a number of Grade II listed structures within it, therefore the site records minor negative effects against Sustainability Objective 3 Site Specific Question 1 and 3.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L14



Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site due to its previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- Due to loss of potential employment use the nature of the allocation the site records a significant against effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L16




Under Construction

Likely Significant Effect

- The site due to its previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 4 and Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L17




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site due to its previously developed nature effect significant positive in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- Due to loss of potential employment use the nature of the allocation the site records a significant against effect against all four of the Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L18




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site due to its previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- Minor negative and significant negative effects was recorded against Sustainability Objective 1, the site has the potential to support protected and priority species and consists of semi improved grassland.
- The site records a minor negative effect against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 7 the site is within the historic core Lichfield City and in close proximity to past Anglo-Saxon finds.
- The site records a minor negative in relation to Sustainability Objective 3, Site Specific Question 1, there are a number of listed buildings close to the site.
- In regard to Sustainability Objective 4, place creation, there are a number of minor negative effects recorded relating to historic views and skylines and the need for sensitive design.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L19




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site due to its previously developed nature a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 has been recorded.
- The historic context of the sites location has led to a significant negative effects being recorded against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 7. A minor negative effect is also recorded against Site Specific Question 6 of the same Sustainability Objective.
- The site includes an at risk Grade II listed building, there is the potential opportunity to bring this heritage asset back into active use, as such the site recorded a significant positive effect against Sustainability Objective 3, Site Specific Question 4.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L20



Likely Significant Effect

- The site has not been previously developed and as such returns a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is adjacent to listed buildings therefore a minor negative effect has been recorded against Suitability Objective 3, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L21




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site due to its previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- There is an element of semi improved grassland within the site and as such a minor negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 2.
- The site is adjacent to a number of list buildings and a listed monument as such a minor negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 3, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L22




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site due to its previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site is located within the historic core and as such records a minor negative effects against Sustainability Objective 2, Site Specific Question 6 and 7.
- The site includes a locally listed building and is in close proximity to listed buildings as such minor negative effects has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 3 which relates to protecting and enhancing buildings, features and areas of archaeological, cultural and historic value and their setting.
- Minor positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 3. These positive effects relate to the potential opportunity surrounding the local listed building being brought back into use.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L23




Likely Significant Effect

- The site due to its previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- Due to loss of potential employment use the nature of the allocation the site records a significant against effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- The site is adjacent to a Listed building and as such a minor negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 3, Site Specific Question 1.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects are recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.

Lichfield

Site L24




Likely Significant Effect

- Due to loss of potential employment use the nature of the allocation the site records a significant negative effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield a significant positive effect is recorded against Sustainability Objective 4 Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15 Site Specific Question 1 and 3.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.

Lichfield

Site L25




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield and effect significant positive against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.
- The site due to its previously developed nature records a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site in located within Source Protection Zone 3 and as such records a minor negative effect against Sustainability Objective 9, Site Specific Question 1.

Lichfield

Site L26




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield and a significant positive effect against Sustainability Objective 4 Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15 Site Specific Question 1 and 3.
- The site due to its previously developed nature effect significant positive in respect to Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site records a minor negative against Sustainability Objective 3, Site Specific Question 7, two Historic Landscape features are within the site.
- The site is adjacent to Grade I and Grade II listed buildings and as such records a minor negative effect against Site Specific Question 1, Sustainability Objective 1. The site is within a conservation areas but development may improve the area hence a minor negative effect recorded against Site Specific Question 3.
- A significant negative effect is recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 3 “Does it safeguard historic views and valuable skylines of settlements”.

Lichfield

Site L27




Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield and records significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.
- The site due to its previously developed nature a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 has been recorded.
- Due to loss of potential employment use the nature of the allocation the site records a significant against effect against all four Site Specific Questions related to Sustainability Objective 14.

Lichfield

Site L28




Under Construction

Likely Significant Effect

- A minor negative effect has been recorded against Site Specific Question 1 Sustainability Objective 1 the site has potential for protected and priority species.
- There are a number of Historic Environment Areas within the site therefore a minor negative effect has been recorded against Site Specific Question 7, Sustainability Objective 2.
- The site includes a number of listed buildings and as such a minor negative effect has been recorded against Sustainability Objective 3, Site Specific Question 1.
- A minor negative effect is recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 3 “Does if safeguard historic views and valuable skylines of settlements.
- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield and records significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3.
- The site due to its previously developed nature a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 has been recorded.

Lichfield

Site L29



Likely Significant Effect

- The site is located within the main settlement of Lichfield significant positive effects against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Questions 4 and 5, Sustainability Objective 15, Site Specific Question 1 and 3 have been recorded.
- A significant negative effect is recorded against Sustainability Objective 4, Site Specific Question 3 “Does it safeguard historic views and valuable skylines of settlements”.
- The site is adjacent to a Grade II listed park and garden as such a minor negative effect has been recorded against Site Specific Question 6, Sustainability Objective 2. This effect is mirrored in Site Specific Question 7, the site is within the historic centre of the city and is within close proximity to ancient monument.
- The site includes Grade II listed buildings and is also in close proximity to the other Grade II listed buildings, in addition there is potential to affect the setting of the Cathedral, as such, the site has recorded a significant negative effect against Site Specific Question 1, Sustainability Objective 3.
- The site is located within a conservation area and as such a minor negative effect against Site Specific Question 3, Sustainability Objective 3.
- In regard to Sustainability Objective 3 the site records a significant positive effect against Site Specific Question 4 and minor positive against Site Specific Question 2, this reflects the potential opportunity to bring back into use a vacant listed building.
- Due to its previously developed nature the site a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 has been recorded.

Lichfield (Employment)

Site L30




Planning Permission Secured

Likely Significant Effect

- The site comprises of semi improved grassland and as such records a significant negative effect against Sustainability Objective 1, Site Specific Question 2.
- The landscape character recorded against the site results in a significant negative effect being returned in relation to Site Specific Question 1, “does it respect and protect existing landscape character”, Sustainability Objective 2.
- Due to its previously developed nature the site a significant positive effect in respect to Sustainability Objective 5 has been recorded.
- The site records a significantly positive effect against sustainability Objective 5, Site Specific Question 4 relating to the reducing derelict, degraded and underused land.
- Due to the nature of the allocation, the site score significantly positive in relation to all four Site Specific Indicators, Sustainability Objective 14.

Lichfield

Site L31



Likely Significant Effect

- The site is been previously developed and as such records a significant positive effect against Sustainability Objective 5.
- The site scores significantly positive against two of the Site Specific Questions attached to Sustainability Objective 6 which focuses on sustainable transport.
- The site is located with Lichfield and as such record a significantly positive effects against Sustainability Objective 15.
- The site is currently used for employment and as such the site records a significant negative effect against four of the Site Specific Questions attached to Sustainability Objective 14.

APPENDIX G – LOCAL PLAN ALLOCATIONS SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL

Table 6 – Reasons for Preferred Alternatives Housing

				Development Considerations				
Settlement	SA Ref	Allocations	Complete (since AMR 2016)	Under Construction	Planning Permission	Urban Capacity	Local Plan Strategy	Green Belt
Alrewas	974	A4						
	751	A3						
	36	A5						
	842							
Armitage with Handsacre	28	A2						
	91	AH1						
	651							
	379							
	120							
	1030							
	1024							
	1021							
	650							
	92							
Burntwood	747							
	583							
	907, 1123							
	964							
	42							
	404							
	958							
	957							
	102							
	71							
	483							
	653							
	477							
	93							
	494							
632								
490								
482								
69								
70								
654								
655								

APPENDIX G

**Table 6 – Reasons for Preferred Alternatives
Housing**

				Development Considerations				
Settlement	SA Ref	Allocations	Complete (since AMR 2016)	Under Construction	Planning Permission	Urban Capacity	Local Plan Strategy	Green Belt
	659							
	660							
	701							
	1005	B1						
	763							
	478	B13						
	496	B7						
	4	B5						
	119	B4						
	7	B3						
	156	B2						
	429	B8						
	1037	B16						
	1054	B17						
	ELAA 47	B10						
	926	B19						
East of Rugeley	1028							
	833							
	832							
	1031	R1						
	27							
Fazeley	472							
	495							
	94							
	140							
	95							
	440	FZ3						
	115	FZ2						
	97							
	1118							
Fradley	87							
	138	F1						
	369							
	376							
	377							
	437							

APPENDIX G

Table 6 – Reasons for Preferred Alternatives Housing

Settlement	SA Ref	Allocations	Complete (since AMR 2016)	Development Considerations				
				Under Construction	Planning Permission	Urban Capacity	Local Plan Strategy	Green Belt
	130							
	838							
	83							
	436							
	132							
	666							
	412							
	131							
	438							
	1119							
	1120							
Lichfield	6							
	434							
	435							
	16							
	22							
	18							
	956							
	17							
	20							
	416							
	704							
	955							
	126							
	127							
	633							
	856	L27						
	1							
	835							
	1032	L2						
	837	OR7						
	646							
	671							
	1070	L28						
	105							
	21							
	905							

APPENDIX G

**Table 6 – Reasons for Preferred Alternatives
Housing**

				Development Considerations				
Settlement	SA Ref	Allocations	Complete (since AMR 2016)	Under Construction	Planning Permission	Urban Capacity	Local Plan Strategy	Green Belt
	44	L6						
	813	L20						
	103	L10						
	836	L18						
	19	L5						
	31	L12		Part	Part			
	703							
	89-90	L5						
	39	L14						
	61	L16						
	63	L17						
	64	L25						
	415	L24						
	422							
	648	L8						
	52	L29						
	425	L21						
	54	L22						
	418	L1						
	428	L7						
	ELAA 58	L3						
	1040	L13						
	1065	L5						
	1057	L4						
	60	L19						
	1104	L9						
	144	L26						
	681							
	164	L23						
	1114							
	1121							
North of Tamworth	104	NT1						
	43	NT2						
Other Rural	255	HR1						
	135	HR1						
	85	H1						
	1022	OR5						

APPENDIX G

Table 6 – Reasons for Preferred Alternatives Housing

Settlement	SA Ref	Allocations	Complete (since AMR 2016)	Development Considerations				
				Under Construction	Planning Permission	Urban Capacity	Local Plan Strategy	Green Belt
	51	OR1						
	935	OR3						
	1046	OR4						
	107							
	895							
	74							
	543							
	960							
	817							
	826							
	1115							
	727							
	65							
	37							
	50							
	49							
	133							
	489							
	86							
	35							
	899							
	25							
	66							
	954							
	834							
	863							
	373							
	86							
	641							
	488							
	1034							
	380							
	1069							
	574							
	909							
	642							
	14							

APPENDIX G

Table 6 – Reasons for Preferred Alternatives Housing

Settlement	SA Ref	Allocations	Complete (since AMR 2016)	Development Considerations				
				Under Construction	Planning Permission	Urban Capacity	Local Plan Strategy	Green Belt
	137							
	665							
	716							
	896							
	898							
	670							
	375							
	481							
	473							
	423							
	475							
	474							
	476							
	370							
	134							
	106							
	45							
	544							
	68							
	374							
	1033							
Shenstone	785							
	480							
	30	S1						
	67							
	684							
	1071							
	500							
	545							
	953							
	241							
	738							
Whittington	154							
	940							
	721							
	431							
	748							

APPENDIX G

Table 6 – Reasons for Preferred Alternatives Housing

Settlement	SA Ref	Allocations	Complete (since AMR 2016)	Development Considerations				
				Under Construction	Planning Permission	Urban Capacity	Local Plan Strategy	Green Belt
	754	W3						
	8	W2						
	1035							
Additions	B20 167	B20						
	B21 146	B21						
no SHLAA ref	L31 ADD 1	L31						
no SHLAA ref	HR2 ADD 2	HR2						
	1109	OR8						
	1109	OR8						

Table 6 Key: Housing

	Urban Capacity, has Planning Permission, is Urban Capacity (as assessed in Urban Capacity Assessment), is in line with Local Plan Strategy, or is outside Green Belt
	Local Plan Strategy: Outside existing settlement boundary, however is adjacent to Key Rural Settlement and Local Plan Strategy recognises some growth beyond boundaries will be required. To be yellow site needs to be in line with quantum of development required for settlement having regard to Urban Capacity Assessment
	Not Urban Capacity, Not in line with Local Plan Strategy, in Green Belt
	Not applicable - site Urban Capacity

Table 6: Reasons for Preferred Alternatives Employment

Employment sites								
				Development Considerations				
	SA Ref	Allocations	Complete (since AMR 2016)	Under Construction	Planning Permission	Employment Capacity	Local Plan Strategy	Green Belt
Employment	ELAA 97	F2						
	ELAA 111	F2						
	ELAA 113							
	ELAA 1							
	ELAA 2							
	ELAA3							
	ELAA5							
	ELAA 6							
	ELAA 8							
	ELAA 9							
	ELAA 10							
	ELAA 11							
	ELAA 72							
	ELAA 112							
	ELAA 12							
	ELAA 13							
	ELAA 14							
	ELAA 15							
	ELAA 16							
	ELAA 17							
	ELAA 18							
	ELAA 19							
	ELAA 20							
	ELAA 23							
	ELAA 26							
	ELAA 30							
	ELAA 32							
	ELAA 37							
	ELAA 41							
	ELAA 46							
	ELAA 47							
	ELAA 58							
	ELAA 67							
	ELAA 77	A6						
	ELAA 80							
	ELAA 81							

APPENDIX G

Employment sites								
				Development Considerations				
	SA Ref	Allocations	Complete (since AMR 2016)	Under Construction	Planning Permission	Employment Capacity	Local Plan Strategy	Green Belt
	ELAA 82							
	ELAA 83							
	ELAA 84							
	ELAA 85							
	ELAA 86							
	ELAA 87							
	ELAA 88							
	ELAA 89							
	ELAA 90							
	ELAA 91							
	ELAA 92							
	ELAA 93							
	ELAA 94							
	ELAA 95							
	ELAA 96	OR6						
	ELAA 98							
	ELAA 99							
	ELAA 100							
	ELAA101							
	ELAA 102							
	ELAA 103							
	ELAA 104							
	ELAA 105	F2						
	ELAA 106							
	ELAA 107							
	ELAA 108							
	ELAA 109							
	ELAA 110							

Table 6 Key: Employment

	Urban Capacity, has Planning Permission, is Employment Capacity (as assessed in Employment Land Capacity Assessment), is in line with Local Plan Strategy, or is outside Green Belt
	Employment Land Capacity Assessment assess site as uncertain. Local Plan Strategy, outside existing employment area boundary, however is adjacent to sustainable settlement and/or employment area. Yellow indicates that the site is in line with quantum of development required for settlement having regard to Urban Capacity Assessment
	Site is not deemed as employment land capacity, is not in line with Local Plan Strategy and is in the Green Belt
	Not applicable - site Urban Capacity

Table 7: Reasons for Preferred Alternatives Gypsy & Travellers

	SA Ref	Allocations	Complete (since AMR 2016)	Under Construction	Planning Permission	Green Belt	Local Plan Strategy	SA Significant Effect	Suitable	Available	Allocate
GT1	SHLAA 376	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N/A	N
GT2	SHLAA 377	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N/A	N
GT3	SHLAA 27	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT4	SHLAA 641	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT5	SLAA 667	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT6	SHLAA 686	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT7	SHLAA 842	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT8	SHLAA 884	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT9	other rural	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT10	other rural	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT11	other rural	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT12	other rural	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N
GT13	other rural	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N
GT14	other rural	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N
GT15	other rural	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N/A	N
GT16	other rural	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT17	other rural	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	N
GT18	other rural	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N
GT19	other rural	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N
GT20	other rural	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N/A	N
GT21	other rural	GT21	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y

APPENDIX H – LOCAL PLAN ALLOCATIONS SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL

Appendix H Saved Policy Summary

ST 3: Road Line Safeguarding

	1 To promote biodiversity protection, enhancement and management of species and habitats				2 To promote and enhance the rich diversity of the natural archaeological/ geological assets, and landscape character of the district				3 To protect and enhance buildings: features and areas of archaeological, cultural and historic value and their setting				4 Create places, spaces and buildings that are well designed, integrated effectively with one another, respect significant views and vistas, and enhance the distinctiveness of the local character				5 Maximise the use of previously developed land/ buildings and the efficient use of land				6 Reduce the need to travel to jobs and services through sustainable integrated patterns of development, efficient use of existing sustainable modes of transport and increased opportunities for non-car travel				7 To reduce, manage and adapt to the impacts of climate change				8 To minimise waste and increase the reuse and recycling of waste materials				9 Seek and improve air, soil and water quality				10 To reduce and manage flood risk				11 To provide affordable affordable homes that meet local need				12 Improve services and access to services to produce good he				13 To promote safe communities, reduce crime and fear of crime				14 Improve opportunities for prosperity and economic growth				15 To enhance the vitality and viability of existing, city, town and village centres within the District				16 Increase participation and improve access to education, skills-based training, knowledge and information, and lifelong learning			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4																	
Policy Absent	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N													
Existing Policy L22	-	N	N	N	-	N	-	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N													
Alternative if suggested	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N													
Proposed Policy ST3	-	N	N	N	-	N	-	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N													

Likely Significant Effects

- The Existing and Proposed policy both have been identified as only having the potential to reduce landscape connectivity. There will be a requirement for mitigation in regard to this impact, this negative effect is also recognised a key negative cumulative effect for the LPA.
- The Existing and Proposed policies both identify the potential negative impact on protected and priority species. There will be a requirement for mitigation measures.
- There is a clear need for the policy in relation to SA Objective 6 and both the existing and proposed policy perform significantly positively.
- There is clearly positive economic benefits delivered from the Existing and Proposed Policy.

Policy IP2: Lichfield Canal

	1 To promote biodiversity protection, enhancement and management of species and habitats				2 To promote and enhance the rich diversity of the natural archaeological/ geological assets, and landscape character of the district				3 To protect and enhance buildings: features and areas of archaeological, cultural and historic value and their setting				4 Create places, spaces and buildings that are well designed, integrated effectively with one another, respect significant views and vistas, and enhance the distinctiveness of the local character				5 Maximise the use of previously developed land/ buildings and the efficient use of land				6 Reduce the need to travel to jobs and services through sustainable integrated patterns of development, efficient use of existing sustainable modes of transport and increased opportunities for non-car travel				7 To reduce, manage and adapt to the impacts of climate change				8 To minimise waste and increase the reuse and recycling of waste materials				9 Seek and improve air, soil and water quality				10 To reduce and manage flood risk				11 To provide affordable affordable homes that meet local need				12 Improve services and access to services to produce good he				13 To promote safe communities, reduce crime and fear of crime				14 Improve opportunities for prosperity and economic growth				15 To enhance the vitality and viability of existing, city, town and village centres within the District				16 Increase participation and improve access to education, skills-based training, knowledge and information, and lifelong learning			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4												
Policy Absent	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N												
Existing Policy Emp 11	N	++	N	++	-	N	+?	N	++	N	++	N	+	N	++	+	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++								
Alternative if suggested	N	++	N	++	-	N	+?	N	++	N	++	N	+	N	++	+	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++								
Proposed Policy IP2	N	++	N	++	-	N	+?	N	++	N	++	N	+	N	++	+	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++								
Amended Proposed Policy IP2	+	++	N	++	-?	N	+?	N	++	N	++	N	+	N	++	+	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++	N	++	+	N	++								

Likely Significant Effects

- The Existing, Proposed and Alternative Policy options all deliver Significant Positive impacts on SA Objective 1, 3 and 12.
- The Existing, Proposed and Alternative Policy options all deliver Significant Negative impacts in terms of loss of agricultural land, this negative effect is also recognised as a key negative cumulative effect for the LPA. Mitigation to address loss at a detailed design stage will be required.
- In regard to the Significantly Negative effect on SA5 Question 1 loss of land not previously developed. This may be more difficult to mitigate against due to the route of the Canal being in large part historic.

Policy ST4: Road Junction Improvements – Lichfield City

	1 To promote biodiversity protection, enhancement and management of species and habitats				2 To promote and enhance the rich diversity of the natural archaeological/geological assets, and landscape character of the district							3 To protect and enhance buildings, features and areas of archaeological, cultural and historic value and their setting				4 Create places, spaces and buildings that are well designed, integrated effectively with one another, respect significant views and vistas, and enhance the distinctiveness of the local character					5 Maximise the use of previously developed land/ buildings and the efficient use of land				6 Reduce the need to travel to jobs and services through sustainable integrated patterns of development, efficient use of existing sustainable modes of transport and increased opportunities for non-car travel			7 To reduce, manage and adapt to the impacts of climate change			8 To minimise waste and increase the reuse and recycling of waste materials			9 Seek and improve air, soil and water quality				10 To reduce and manage flood risk		11 To provide affordable affordable homes that meet local need				12 Improve services and access to services to produce good he			13 To promote safe communities, reduce crime and fear of crime		14 Improve opportunities for prosperity and economic growth				15 To enhance the vitality and viability of existing, city, town and village centres within the District			16 Increase participation and improve access to education, skills-based training, knowledge and information, and lifelong learning	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2									
Policy Absent	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N				
Existing Policy L23	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N				
Alternative if suggested	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N				
Proposed Policy ST4	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N				

Likely Significant Effects

- There is a clear identified need to have a policy in place to mitigate for Significantly Negative impacts in terms of SA Objective 6.
- Minor Negative scores identified with SA Objectives 2, 3 and 4 can all be mitigated for at detailed design stage through the Local Plan Strategy Policies supported by Supplementary Planning Documents.
- The significant difference between the Existing and Proposed policy related to SA Objective 6 Site Specific Question 1 and 2, the Proposed policy scores a Significantly Positive effect compared to only a Minor Positive effect.

Policy ST5: Road and Junction Improvements – Fradley

	1 To promote biodiversity protection, enhancement and management of species and habitats				2 To promote and enhance the rich diversity of the natural archaeological/ geological assets, and landscape character of the district							3 To protect and enhance buildings; features and areas of archaeological, cultural and historic value and their setting				4 Create places, spaces and buildings that are well designed, integrated effectively with one another, respect significant views and vistas, and enhance the distinctiveness of the local character					5 Maximise the use of previously developed land/ buildings and the efficient use of land				6 Reduce the need to travel to jobs and services through sustainable integrated patterns of development, efficient use of existing sustainable modes of transport and increased opportunities for non-car travel			7 To reduce, manage and adapt to the impacts of climate change			8 To minimise waste and increase the reuse and recycling of waste materials			9 Seek and improve air, soil and water quality				10 To reduce and manage flood risk		11 To provide affordable affordable homes that meet local need				12 Improve services and access to services to produce good he			13 To promote safe communities, reduce crime and fear of crime		14 Improve opportunities for prosperity and economic growth				15 To enhance the vitality and viability of existing, city, town and village centres within the District			16 Increase participation and improve access to education, skills-based training, knowledge and information, and lifelong learning							
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4										
Policy Absent	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N				
Existing Policy EA 1	N	N	N	N	-	N	-	N	-	-	-	N	-	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N				
Alternative if suggested	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Proposed Policy ST5	N	N	N	N	-	N	-	N	-	-	-	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	-?	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

Likely Significant Effects:

- The shift in effect recorded in relation to SA Objective 14 relates directly to the reduction in scope of the Proposed policy. This reduction is justified following implementation of elements of the Existing policy.
- The Proposed and Existing policies identify potential impact in relation to landscape quality and reduce landscape connectivity. There will be a requirement for mitigation in regard to this impact, this negative effect is also recognised as a key negative cumulative effect for the LPA.

Policy NR11: National Forest

	1 To promote biodiversity protection, enhancement and management of species and habitats				2 To promote and enhance the rich diversity of the natural archaeological/geological assets, and landscape character of the district				3 To protect and enhance buildings, features and areas of archaeological, cultural and historic value and their setting				4 Create places, spaces and buildings that are well designed, integrated effectively with one another, respect significant views and vistas, and enhance the distinctiveness of the local character					5 Maximise the use of previously developed land/ buildings and the efficient use of land				6 Reduce the need to travel to jobs and services through sustainable integrated patterns of development, efficient use of existing sustainable modes of transport and increased opportunities for non-car travel				7 To reduce, manage and adapt to the impacts of climate change			8 To minimise waste and increase the reuse and recycling of waste materials				9 Seek and improve air, soil and water quality				10 To reduce and manage flood risk		11 To provide affordable affordable homes that meet local need				12 Improve services and access to services to produce good he			13 To promote safe communities, reduce crime and fear of crime			14 Improve opportunities for prosperity and economic growth				15 To enhance the vitality and viability of existing, city, town and village centres within the District			16 Increase participation and improve access to education, skills-based training, knowledge and information, and lifelong learning		
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2											
Policy Absent	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N									
Existing Policy EA16	N	+	N	+	+	N	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N					
Alternative if suggested	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Proposed Policy NR11	N	+	N	+	+	N	+	N	++	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

Likely Significant Effects:

- The Existing and Proposed policy has the ability to deliver positive effects in relation to Sustainability Objective 1 and 2. The Proposed policy will enabled the potential for those positive effects to be increased from minor to significant.

Policy BE2: Heritage Assets Policy Lichfield

	1 To promote biodiversity protection, enhancement and management of species and habitats				2 To promote and enhance the rich diversity of the natural archaeological/ geological assets, and landscape character of the district							3 To protect and enhance buildings, features and areas of archaeological, cultural and historic value and their setting				4 Create places, spaces and buildings that are well designed, integrated effectively with one another, respect significant views and vistas, and enhance the distinctiveness of the local character					5 Maximise the use of previously developed land/ buildings and the efficient use of land				6 Reduce the need to travel to jobs and services through sustainable integrated patterns of development, efficient use of existing sustainable modes of transport and increased opportunities for non-car travel				7 To reduce, manage and adapt to the impacts of climate change			8 To minimise waste and increase the reuse and recycling of waste materials			9 Seek and improve air, soil and water quality				10 To reduce and manage flood risk		11 To provide affordable affordable homes that meet local need				12 Improve services and access to services to produce good he			13 To promote safe communities, reduce crime and fear of crime			14 Improve opportunities for prosperity and economic growth				15 To enhance the vitality and viability of existing, city, town and village centres within the District			16 Increase participation and improve access to education, skills-based training, knowledge and information, and lifelong learning	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2											
Policy Absent	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N									
Existing Policy C2	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N									
Alternative if suggested	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N									
Proposed Policy BE2	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N									
Amended Proposed Policy BE2	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N									

Likely Significant Effects:

- The Proposed Policy in terms of SA Objective 2 Site Question 7 and SA Objective 3 Site Specific Question 1 scores a Significant Positive effect compared to Significant Negative effect score against the Existing Policy. This can be seen as a positive mitigating impact.
- In terms of SA Objective 3 site specific question 3 a backward shift in effect has been recorded. The Existing policy scores Significantly Positive and the Proposed Policy a Minor Negative. This backward shift will be mitigated for through wider policy context offered within the Local Plan Strategy and adopted Supplementary Planning Documents.

Policy Burntwood 3: Burntwood Economy

	1 To promote biodiversity protection, enhancement and management of species and habitats				2 To promote and enhance the rich diversity of the natural archaeological/ geological assets, and landscape character of the district				3 To protect and enhance buildings, features and areas of archaeological, cultural and historic value and their setting				4 Create places, spaces and buildings that are well designed, integrated effectively with one another, respect significant views and vistas, and enhance the distinctiveness of the local character				5 Maximise the use of previously developed land/ buildings and the efficient use of land				6 Reduce the need to travel to jobs and services through sustainable integrated patterns of development, efficient use of existing sustainable modes of transport and increased opportunities for non-car travel				7 To reduce, manage and adapt to the impacts of climate change				8 To minimise waste and increase the reuse and recycling of waste materials				9 Seek and improve air, soil and water quality				10 To reduce and manage flood risk				11 To provide affordable affordable homes that meet local need				12 Improve services and access to services to produce good he				13 To promote safe communities, reduce crime and fear of crime				14 Improve opportunities for prosperity and economic growth				15 To enhance the vitality and viability of existing, city, town and village centres within the District				16 Increase participation and improve access to education, skills-based training, knowledge and information, and lifelong learning																																													
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4																																																									
Policy Absent	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N																
Existing Policy Burntwood 3	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N								
Alternative if suggested	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Proposed Policy Burntwood 3	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

Likely Significant Effects:

- The Existing and Proposed policy has the ability to deliver positive effects.
- The Proposed Policy has the ability to delivery Minor positive effects in relation to prosperity and economic growth.

APPENDIX I – LOCAL PLAN ALLOCATIONS SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL

Introduction

Sustainability Appraisal is a statutory requirement of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. It is designed to ensure that the plan preparation process maximises the contribution that a plan makes to sustainable development and minimises any potential adverse impacts. The SA process involves appraising the likely social, environmental and economic effects of the policies and proposals within a plan from the outset of its development.

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is also a statutory assessment process, required under the SEA Directive, transposed in the UK by the SEA Regulations (Statutory Instrument 2004, No 1633). The SEA Regulations require the formal assessment of plans and programmes which are likely to have significant effects on the environment and which set the framework for future consent of projects requiring Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). The purpose of SEA, as defined in Article 1 of the SEA Directive is *'to provide for a high level of protection of the environment and to contribute to the integration of environmental considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans....with a view to promoting sustainable development'*.

SEA and SA are separate processes but have similar aims and objectives. Simply put, SEA focuses on the likely environmental effects of a plan whilst SA includes a wider range of considerations, extending to social and economic impacts. National Planning Practice Guidance shows how it is possible to satisfy both requirements by undertaking a joint SA/SEA process, and to present an SA report that incorporates the requirements of the SEA Regulations. The SA/SEA of Lichfield District Council's Local Plan Allocation has been developed using this integrated approach and throughout this report the abbreviation 'SA' should therefore be taken to refer to 'SA incorporating the requirements of SEA'.

Assumptions and Assessment

Every Local Plan Allocation proposed site along with reasonable alternatives have been assessed as part of the SA. In addition every revised policy has been assessed through the SA process. **For the purposes of Cabinet the SA will contain a detailed report and a matrix of site and policy assessments. At this point this stands at over a 1000 pages. As the SA assessment is a technical process, for the purposes of Leadership the relevant objectives and assumptions have been provided.** There are a number of SA indicators which assumptions have been attached before the SA assessment process was completed. These assumptions have been catalogued.

SA Objectives	Assumptions
<p>1. To promote biodiversity protection, enhancement and management of species and habitats.</p>	<p>All types of Site Options Individual site assessment were completed by Lichfield District Council’s Ecology Officer (BSc (hon) and MBIol (hon), retains EPS licences and has over 10 years of practical experience).</p> <p>Sites were assessed using all available ecological data, this was provided by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Lichfield District Local Development Framework Ecological Study • The Staffordshire Ecological Record • Any and all recent Ecological Assessments relevant to the site which had previously been submitted to the LPA as part of a prior planning application. • 2017 Arial photographs. • The Ecology Officers previous knowledge of the site (if a site visit had previously been conducted as part of a prior planning application). <p>If, after scrutinising all available information, a reasonable assessment of the sites ecological value could not be determined with any assurance then a site visit/re-visit was conducted by the Ecology Officer using existing highways and public rights of way.</p> <p>For reference follow text offers a summary of requirements during the Decision –taking phase of delivering sustainable development in regard to biodiversity protected species and their habitats.</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where a protected/priority species is found to be present the developer would adhere to the mitigation hierarchy (as per para 118 of NPPF 2012). • All developments, prior to approval of application, would need to demonstrate to the LPA that the proposed works are unlikely to negatively impact upon protected or priority species (i.e. those defined under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended 2010), The Conservation of Natural Habitats Regulations (Habitat Regs.) 1994 (as amended 2010), The Protection of Badgers Act 1992 or listed under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006). • All development would conform to the requirements of para 118 of NPPF 2012 (no net-loss)

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All developments would demonstrate compliance with policy NR3 of LDC Local plan, achieving a net gain for protected/priority species. <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where priority habitat or local conservation site (SBI, BAS) were found to be negatively affected by the development proposed (direct or indirect; during either construction or operation) the developer would adhere to the mitigation hierarchy (as per para 118 of NPPF 2012). • All developments, prior to approval of application, would need to demonstrate to the LPA that the proposed works are unlikely to negatively impact upon protected or priority habitats (i.e. those defined under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended 2010), The Conservation of Natural Habitats Regulations (Habitat Regs.) 1994 (as amended 2010), listed under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006), or noted within the LDC Biodiversity and Development SPD. • All development would conform to the requirements of para 118 of NPPF 2012 (no net-loss) • All developments would demonstrate compliance with policy NR3 of LDC Local plan, and para 6.33 of Biodiversity and Development SPD achieving a measurable net gain of no less than 20% above the biodiversity unit value of habitats to be lost. <p>Site Specific Question 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All development within agreed zones of impact (CC SAC 15km, and RM SAC water catchment zone) will adhere to either CC SAC mitigation guidance or RM SAC Developer contribution scheme, as appropriate. <p>Site Specific Question 4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased ecological will be sought to be incorporated in all developments in line with the Lawton Principle (Biodiversity 2020) & LDC local plan policy’s NR3 and NR6
<p>2. To promote and enhance the rich diversity of the natural</p>	<p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
<p>archaeological/geological assets, and landscape character of the District.</p>	<p>Landscapes that have been characterised as Active Landscape Conservation, Landscape Maintenance, and Landscape Enhancement are seen to have potentially high sensitivity to development. Landscapes that have been characterised as Landscape Restoration and Innovative Landscape Regeneration are seen to have a potentially moderate sensitivity to development. Landscapes that are classed as urban or have no recognised landscape character are seen to have a potentially low sensitivity to development as defined by the Staffordshire County Council Landscape Character Types (2001). In addition where development is within or close to designated landscapes negative effects could result.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions have been made in relation to Site Specific Question 1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are entirely or mainly in Active Landscape Conservation, Landscape Maintenance and Landscape Enhancement are likely to have a significant negative effect (--) • Sites that are entirely or mainly in Landscape Restoration and Innovation Landscape Regeneration are likely to have a minor negative effect (-) • Site that are entirely or mainly in and urban or non-classified Landscape Character Area are likely to have a neutral (N) effect. <p>In addition where development is within or close to designated landscapes negative effects could result.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within or in close proximity to Cannock Chase AONB are likely to have a significant negative effect (--) <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <p>Development sites that are in or within close proximity to sites of geological importance could potential have an impact on those features, however uncertainly existing, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and could have potential benefits.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions have been made in relation to Site Specific Question 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are entirely or mainly in within or in close proximity to a regionally important geological site are likely to have a significant negative effect (--?) • All other sites will be score neutral (N).

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>Site Specific Question 3</p> <p>The effect of new development on improving and promoting landscape connectivity will depend largely on the sites detailed design, which is not yet known.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions have been made in relation to Site Specific Question 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sites will be scored neutral effect (N). <p>Site Specific Question 4</p> <p>The location of development sites can influence the efficient use of minerals as development in Mineral Safeguarding Areas as identified in the adopted Staffordshire Minerals Local Plan may sterilise mineral resources and restrict the availability of resources in the District.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in related to Site Specific Question 4.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where sites fall entirely or mainly in within a Mineral Safeguarding area will be scored as having a significant negative effect (--) • Sites outside a Mineral Safeguarding area will be scored as having a neutral effect (N). <p>Site Specific Question 5</p> <p>Potential exists for developed within the designated areas through contributions and/or design features to have a positive effect in identified objectives of the National Forest, Forest of Mercia and the Central Rivers Initiative.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in related to Site Specific Question 5.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where sites fall entirely or partial within the National Forest, Forest of Mercia and the Central Rivers Initiative a potential minor positive effect with uncertainty (+?). • Sites outside the National Forest, Forest of Mercia and the Central Rivers Initiative will be scored as have a neutral effect (N). <p>Site Specific Question 6</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>Development sites that are in or within close proximity to a historic landscape feature could potential have an impact on those features, however uncertainly exists, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and could have potential benefits.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in related to Site Specific Question 6.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are entirely or mainly in within a historic landscape feature have the potential to result in a significant negative effect (--). • Sites that are adjacent to or in close proximity to a historic landscape feature have the potential to result in a minor negative effect (-). • All other sites will be score neutral (N). <p>Site Specific Question 7</p> <p>Development sites that are in or within close proximity to sites of archaeological importance could potential have an impact on those features, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and could have potential benefits.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions have been made in relation to Site Specific Question 7.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are entirely or mainly within sites of archaeological importance are likely to a have a significant negative effect (--) in addition sites in close proximity to a site of archaeological importance are likely to have a negative effect with uncertainty (-). It may be possible that a site in close proximity is view to have a significant effect (--) due to the nature of the archaeological site in question. • All other sites will be score neutral (N).
<p>3. To protect and enhance buildings, features and areas of archaeological, cultural and historic value and their setting.</p>	<p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>The NPPF para 132 states that the ‘significance of a heritage asset can be harmed or lots through alteration or destruction of the heritage asset or development within its setting’. Development could also enable the enhancement of an asset preserving or revealing importance elements.</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 1.</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where sites have the potential to significantly enhance a listed building or its setting for example by repairing it, removing inappropriate development within its setting they will be scored as having a significant positive effect (++). • Where sites have the potential to enhance a locally listed building or its setting or they have the potential to make a modest improvement to a listed building or its setting they will be scored as having a minor positive effect (+). • Where sites are not considered to be within the setting of a listed or locally listed building they will be scored as having a Neutral (N). • Where a site has the potential to harm a locally listed building or its setting or would cause modest harm to a Grade II listed building or its setting but this would be minor harm and/or could be mitigated this will be scored as having a minor negative (-). • Where a site, however developed, would cause any harm to a Grade I or II* listed building or its setting or harm to a Grade II listed building or its setting that could not be mitigated it will be scored as having a significant negative effect (--). <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where sites have the potential to significantly improve and broaden access to, and understanding of, local heritage , historic sites, areas and buildings they will be scored as having a significant positive effect (++) • Where sites have the potential to improve and broaden access to, and understanding of, local heritage , historic sites, areas and buildings they will be scored as having a minor positive effect (+). • Where sites are not considered to be near to any heritage assets they will be scored as having a neutral (N). • Where a site has the potential to harm access to, and understanding of, local heritage , historic sites, areas and buildings or their settings but this would be minor harm and/or could be mitigated this will be scored as having a minor negative effect (-). • Where a site, however development would harm access to, and understanding of, local heritage, historic sites, areas and buildings or their settings and no mitigation is likely to be possible this will be scored as having a significant negative effect (--). <p>Site Specific Question 3</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where sites have the potential to enhance a conservation area, for example where the area is highlighted as an area for improvement in the adopted conservation area appraisal, they will be scored as having a significant positive effect (++). • Where sites have the potential to preserve the conservation area they will be scored as having a minor positive effect (+). • Where sites are not considered to be within the setting of a conservation area they will be scored as having a significant positive effect (N). • Where a site has the potential to harm the conservation area or its setting but this would be minor harm and/or could be mitigated this will be scored as having a minor negative effect (-). • Sites which however development would cause harm to a conservation area or its setting will be scored as having a significant negative effect (--). <p>Site Specific Question 4</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 4.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where sites have the potential to bring a listed building back into active use they will be scored as having a significant positive effect (++). • Where sites have the potential to bring a locally listed building or other non-designated heritage asset back into active use they will be scored as having a minor positive effect (+). • Where sites do not contain any designated or non-designated heritage assets they will be scored as having a neutral (N). • Where a site has the potential to harm a non-designated heritage asset so that it is less likely to be able to be brought back into use this will be scored as having a minor negative effect (-). • Where a site, has the potential to harm a designated heritage asset so that it is less likely to be able to be brought back into use this will be scored as having a significant negative effect (--).
<p>4. Create places, spaces and buildings that are well designed, integrated effectively with one another, respect</p>	<p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
<p>significant views and vistas, and enhance the distinctiveness of the local character.</p>	<p>The effects of new development on Site Specific Question 1 will depend largely on its design, which is not yet known, therefore all effects will be to some extent uncertain at this stage. Therefore the assumption will be made that all sites have the potential to achieve a high quality and sustainable design sensitive to the locality but this depends wholly on the specific attribute of a particular scheme.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions have been made in relation to Site Specific Question 1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sites will be scored neutral effect (N). <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where sites have the potential to significantly improve locally distinctive settlement and townscape character they will be scored as having a significantly positive effect (++) • Where sites have the potential to improve locally distinctive settlement and townscape character setting they will be scored as having a minor positive effect (+). • Where sites have the potential to preserve locally distinctive settlement and townscape character they will be scored as having a neutral effect (N). • Where a site has the potential to harm locally distinctive settlement and townscape character but this harm would be minimal and/or could be mitigated this will be scored as having a minor negative effect (-). • Where a site, however developed, harm locally distinctive settlement and townscape character that could not be mitigated it will be scored as having a significantly minor effect (--). <p>Site Specific Question 3</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where sites have the potential to significantly improve historic views and valuable skylines of settlements they will be scored as having a significant positive effect (++) • Where sites have the potential to improve historic views and valuable skylines of settlements setting they will be scored as having a minor positive effect (+). • Where sites will have no impact on historic views and valuable skylines of settlements they will be scored as having a neutral effect (N).

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where a site has the potential to harm historic views and valuable skylines of settlements but this harm would be minimal and/or could be mitigated this will be scored as having a minor negative effect (-). • Where a site, however developed, harm historic views and valuable skylines of settlements that could not be mitigated it will be scored as having a significant negative effect (--). <p>Residential and Gypsy and Traveller Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 4</p> <p>Connections and the access to integrated infrastructure (physical, green and social/community) is seen as important to the formation of sustainable communities.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 4.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within or have a boundary with a Lichfield or Burntwood will be scored as having a significant positive effect (++). • Sites that are within or have a boundary with Alrewas, Armitage with Handscare, Fazeley, Fradley, Shenstone and Whittington (Key Rural Settlements) will be scored as having a minor positive effect (+). • Sites that are have a boundary with Rugeley and Tamworth (Neighbouring Town) will be scored as having a minor positive effect (+). • Sites that are within or have a boundary with those settlements identified as Other Rural (Clifton Campville, Colton, Drayton Basset, Edingale, Elford, Hamstall Ridware, Harlaston, Hill Ridware, Hopwas, Kings Bromley, Little Aston, Longdon, Stonnall, Upper Longdon, Wigginton) will be scored as having a minor negative effect (-) • Sites that are isolated and are located away from any settlement boundary will be scored as having a significant negative effect (--). <p>Site Specific Question 5</p> <p>Site Specific Question 5 relates directly to Site Specific Question 5 (above) assumptions and scoring has been linked to enable an informed response. It should also be noted that assess to a number of clearly identified services features within Sustainability Objective 6 Site Specific Question 3.</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Those sites identified as being within or having a boundary with an identified settlement identified within Site Specific Question 4 with score has having a minor positive effect (+). • All other sites will have a significant negative effect (--). <p>Employment Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 4</p> <p>The settlement hierarchy articulated through site specific Question 4 is not relevant to employment sites.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neutral (N) score will be recorded. <p>Site Specific Question 5</p> <p>Whilst it is possible that employees may choose to access services close to their place of employment during the working day a direct relationship between the two is considered at this point the SA to be neutral. It should be noted that accessibility is considered directly as part of SA Objective 6 and furthermore SA Objective 15 measures potential economic benefits.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neutral (N) score will be recorded.
<p>5. Maximise the use of previously developed land/buildings and the efficient use of land.</p>	<p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1</p> <p>Development on brownfield land represents more efficient use of land in comparison to the development of greenfield sites.</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land will be scored as having a significant positive effect (++) • Sites that are partly greenfield but include an element of previously developed land will be scored as having a minor negative effect (-) • Sites that are mainly or entirely on greenfield land will be scored as having a significant negative effect (--). <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <p>Higher density development with a number of integrated uses provides an efficient use of existing land resource. Whilst the great majority of sites have the natural ability to deliver high density development this can be restricted at detailed design stage when the surrounding context and other individual site specific elements are established.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sites will be scored as having a neutral (N) effect. <p>Site Specific Question 3</p> <p>The reuse of existing buildings is an efficient use of existing resources however the extent that new development is able to incorporate existing site infrastructure will only become apparent at detailed design stage.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that have existing buildings included within them will be scored as having a minor positive effect with uncertainty (+?) • Sites that do not have buildings included within them will be scored as having a neutral effect (N). <p>Site Specific Question 4</p> <p>Development on derelict, degraded and underused land represents an efficient use of land.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 4.</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that have an element of derelict, degraded and underused land within them will be scored as having a significant positive effect (++). • All other sites will be scored as having a neutral effect (N).
<p>6. Reduce the need to travel to jobs and services through sustainable integrated patterns of development, efficient use of existing sustainable modes of transport and increased opportunities for non-car travel.</p>	<p>All types of site options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1</p> <p>The potential for new residents/ employees/ visitors to use sustainable modes of travel (walking, cycling, bus and rail) when travelling to and from the site has been assessed using TRACC accessibility planning software. Access to the following services has been calculated for Lichfield District and overlaid with the site boundaries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to an hourly or better bus service within a 350m walk • Access to a rail station within a 30 minute walk • Access to a primary school within a 30 minute walk • Access to a GP surgery within a 30 minute walk • Access to employment within a 20 minute cycle ride <p>For walking and cycling to be safe and attractive options the provision of footpaths for pedestrians and safe cycle facilities are required between the site and local services and facilities or residential areas. Safe cycle facilities include designated cycle routes, advisory cycle routes as defined in the Borough cycle map and local residential streets where traffic levels are low.</p> <p>Accessibility assessments include any commitments made through planning obligations or Lichfield District Local Plan Strategy 2008-2029. These include the provision of Lichfield Southern Bypass and associated walk and cycle infrastructure and provision of three primary schools within SDLs (see Local Plan Strategy 2008-2029 Policies Maps, Lichfield Inset 1).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To have a significant positive (++) effect a site would have access by rail, bus, walk and cycle within the above parameters. • To have a minor positive (+) effect a site would have access by walk and bus within the above parameters.

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To have a mixed and uncertain (+?) or (-?) effect a site would have access by either walk or bus within the above parameters. • To have a minor negative (-) effect a site would have access by neither walk nor bus within the above parameters. • To have a significant negative (-) effect a site would not have access by any of the four sustainable modes within the above parameters. <p>Residential and Employment Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <p>Areas with potential sensitivities to increases in traffic flow include 11 key junctions in Lichfield, of which 7 have improvements planned, (see Local Plan Strategy 2008-2029 Policies Maps, Lichfield Inset 1), Lichfield’s historic core, 5 Way Island and the Gungate Corridor and Ventura Park in Tamworth. The likely impact on traffic sensitive areas has been considered in terms of the expected AM peak (0800-0900) and PM peak (1700-1800) traffic generations for each site and the likelihood that the distribution of trips will impact on traffic sensitive areas.</p> <p>The traffic impact of sites with planning consent have been considered through the planning process and any impacts on traffic sensitive areas are able to be mitigated through the discharge of associated planning obligations. These sites have been assessed as a minor positive (+) effect.</p> <p>It has been assumed that sites of less than 25 dwellings are likely to have no impact on traffic sensitive areas due to the small number of vehicle trips the generate within the peak periods. This is the best outcome in traffic terms for a site and is considered a significant positive (++) effect.</p> <p>In the absence of transport evidence there is uncertainty as to the effect on traffic sensitive of sites larger than 25 dwellings, retail sites or employment sites. To acknowledge this uncertainty the assessment includes an unknown (?) effect element. For very large sites such as site 1031 to the East of Rugeley an assessment of the likely impact of traffic cannot be made in the absence of transport evidence and the site has been assessed as unknown (?) effect.</p> <p>Site Specific Question 3</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>The potential for sites to provide additional or extended bus services is in part related to the size of site. Public transport contributions would usually be sought from sites in excess of 50 dwellings where the site does not currently benefit from satisfactory bus service provision. Sites that have access to an hourly or better bus service within 350m using a safe walking route may not be required to develop bus networks.</p> <p>The potential for sites to provide additional walk and cycle infrastructure has been considered in relation to the site boundary. It is not possible through a strategic assessment to determine the likely delivery of walk and cycle infrastructure on land outside of the site boundary. Where this would be required to join the site to existing walk and cycle networks then the assessment score includes an unknown (?) effect.</p> <p>This assessment considers that sites meeting the aforementioned criteria would not need to further develop local bus networks and have therefore been scored as Neutral response in relation to criterion (N).</p> <p>Where a site does not meet the bus access criteria and is below 50 dwellings in size it has been considered an unlikely to be able to develop local bus networks and has a minor negative (-) effect.</p> <p>To provide clarity to rail services are viewed in terms of new services, amended services frequencies and or the provision of additional rail stations.</p> <p>Gypsy and Traveller Site Options</p> <p>There are a number of difference in relation to Gypsy and Traveller Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <p>In the absence to site yields and in view that Gypsy and Travellers do not generally produce the same trip rates as 'bricks and mortar' residential areas the impact on traffic sensitive areas is uncertain.</p> <p>Therefore in relation to Site Specific Question 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sites will be scored has having an uncertain effect (?). <p>Site Specific Question 3</p>

Appendix I

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>In the absence of yields and in view of the end use of the site an assessment would take place at detailed design stage all sites will be scored as having a neutral effect.</p> <p>Therefore in relation to Site Specific Question 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sites will be scored as having a Neutral effect (N).
<p>7. To reduce, manage and adapt to the impacts of climate change.</p>	<p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1, 2, and 3</p> <p>The effect on new development on the Sustainability Objective will depend to a large extent on options taken at detailed design.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Questions 1, 2 and 3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sites that are considered to have a Neutral (N) effect.
<p>8. To minimise waste and increase the reuse and recycling of waste materials.</p>	<p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1</p> <p>This will depend largely on behaviour patterns combined with the detailed design of the development.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sites that are considered to have a Neutral (N) effect. <p>Site Specific Question 2 and 3</p> <p>It is possible that previously developed land may offer opportunities for the reuse of materials and buildings as part of the development.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 2 and 3.</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sites that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land will have a minor positive effect (+) • All other sites will record a Neutral (N) effect.
<p>9. Seek to improve air, soil and water quality.</p>	<p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1</p> <p>The effects of development on water quality will depend on the capacity of the relevant sewage treatment works to accommodate the impact of the new development, the level/extent of the effect cannot be assessed at this point. However, which water Source Protection Zone the site falls within can be established and a level of effect assumed.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Specific Question 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within Source Protection Zone 1 could have a significant negative (--) effect on water quality. • Sites that are within Source Protection Zone 2 or 3 could have a minor negative (-) effect on water quality. • Sites that are not within a Source Protection Zone are likely to have a neutral (N) effect on water quality. <p>The River Mease is designated as a Special Area of Conservation under the Habitats Regulations part of which falls within Lichfield District.</p> <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Those sites that are located partly or wholly within the catchment of the River Mease SAC could have a significant negative (--) effect in water quality. • All other sites will record a Neutral (N) effect. <p>Site Specific Question 3</p> <p>Within Lichfield District there are two Air Quality Management Zone designated (A5 Muckley Corner and A38 Wall Island to Alrewas). Site that are within one of the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAS) in the District could increase levels of air pollution in those areas as a result of increase vehicle traffic.</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation Site Specific Question 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are partly or wholly in an Air Quality Management Area are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect on air quality. • Sites that are not in an Air Quality Management Area are likely to have a neutral (N) effect on air quality. <p>Site Specific Question 4</p> <p>The effect of development on soil will depend on two elements, the first the quality of agricultural land and the second if the site is located on land that has been previously developed.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 4.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are wholly or partly on greenfield land which is classed as being Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3 agricultural quality land could have a significant negative (--) effect on soils. • Sites that are wholly or partly on greenfield land which are classed as being Grade 4, Grade 5 or urban land would have a minor negative (-) effect on soils. • Sites that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a minor positive (+) effect.
<p>10. To reduce and manage flood risk.</p>	<p>National Planning Guidance identifies which types of land uses are considered to appropriate in Flood Zones 2, 3a and 3b. Where site options are located in areas of high flood risk, it could increase the risk of flooding in those areas particularly if the site has not previously been developed. No assumptions have been made that relate to existing mitigation that may or may not exist on sites that are brownfield.</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1.</p> <p>Residential Site Options</p> <p>National Planning Practice guidance identifies residential properties as a 'more vulnerable use', which is suitable in areas of flood zone 1 and 2, but would require an exception test in flood zone 3a, and is unsuitable in flood zone 3b.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are entirely or mainly on greenfield land that are within flood zones 3 are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect.

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are entirely or mainly on greenfield outside of flood zone 3 are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect. • Sites that are entirely or mainly on brownfield within flood zones 3 are likely to have a minor negative (--) effect. • Sites that are on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3 are likely to have a Neutral (N) effect. <p>Gypsy and Traveller Site Options</p> <p>National Planning Practice Guidance identifies caravans, mobile homes and park homes intended for permanent residential use as a ‘highly vulnerable use’, which is suitable in areas of flood zone 1 but require an exception test in flood zone 2 and is unsuitable in flood zones 3a and 3b.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are entirely or mainly with flood zones 2 or 3 are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect. • Sites that are on greenfield land outside of flood zones 2 and 3 are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect • Sites that are on brownfield land within flood zones 2 and 3 are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect. • Sites that are on brownfield land outside flood zones 2 and 3 area likely to have a Neutral (N). <p>Employment and Retail Site Options</p> <p>National Planning Guidance identifies buildings used for shops, as well as offices and general industry, as ‘less vulnerable uses’, which are suitable in areas of flood zone 1, 2 and 3a but are unsuitable in flood zone 3b.</p> <p>Lichfield Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, states that all areas within Flood Zone 3 should be considered as Flood Zone 3b unless, or until, appropriate assessment shows to the satisfactions of the EA that the area falls within Flood Zone 3a. Therefore in areas where the functional floodplain has not been defined and no suitable surrogate data is available the functional floodplain (Flood Zone 3b) has been defined as the extent of Flood Zone 3a.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions have been made in relation to Site Specific Question 1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are entirely or mainly on greenfield land that is within flood zone 3 are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect. • Sites that are either entirely or mainly in greenfield outside of flood zone 3, or that are entirely or mainly in brownfield within flood zone 3 are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect. • Sites that are on brownfield land outside of flood zone 3b are likely to have a Neutral (N) effect.

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 2.</p> <p>The effect of new development on flood management will depend on the extent to which SuDs or other flood elevation methods are incorporated within the development. It is however difficult to assume the level of effect such design elements (if incorporated) will have at this stage.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An uncertain effect (?) score will be recorded on all types of site options
<p>11. To provide affordable homes that meet local need.</p>	<p>Employment Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1, 2,3</p> <p>In relation to Site Specific Questions 1, 2, and 3 the location of employment sites are not considered likely to have an effect on this objective.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 1,2 and 3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neutral effect (N) score will be recorded. <p>Residential Site Options and Gypsy and Traveller Site options</p> <p>All sites of this development type will to some extent have a positive effect on this objective.</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A significant positive (++) effect will be recorded against Site Specific Question 1. <p>Site Specific Question 2 and 3</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>In relation to Site Specific Questions 2 and 3 housing development consisting of 11 homes or more are required to make provision for affordable housing.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 2 and 3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites with capacity for more than 11 homes will have a significant positive (++) effect • Sites with capacity for less than 11 homes will have a positive (N) effect. <p>Gypsy and Traveller Site options Site Specific Question 4</p> <p>All sites of this type will address identified local need and are therefore expected to have a positive effect on Site Specific Question 4 of this objective.</p> <p>The following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 4.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sites are considered to have a significant positive (++) effect. <p>Residential Site Options and Employment Site Options Site Specific Question 4</p> <p>Site Specific Question 4 relates directly to the provision of Gypsy and Traveller need therefore development of any other type would not have an effect on Site Specific Question 4.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 4.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neutral effect (N) score will be recorded against Site Specific Question 4.
<p>12. To improve services and access to services to produce good health and wellbeing and reduce health inequalities.</p>	<p>Residential Site Options and Employment Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1.</p> <p>In terms of Site Specific Question 1, whilst it is possible that employees may choose to access health care facilities close to their place of work it is assumed that any generated need and required response will focus on residential growth points.</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>The District Council’s Community Infrastructure (CIL) Levy Regulation 123 listed states that funds may be used where evidence is provided that there is no local capacity and expansion of services is required to support growth across the district. Therefore development that falls within and identified use on the adopted Schedule of Rates will result in a possible positive effect, however the extent is uncertain.</p> <p>Therefore in relation to Site Specific Question 1 the following assumptions will be made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A minor positive effect (+?) score will be recorded against Site Specific Questions 1. <p>We are aware that there exists a number of locations within the District where Health Care need has been identified in advance of the Site Allocations document and partnership work is currently underway to develop and implement responses in line with the NHS Transformation Programme. If residential Site Allocations fall within these locations a note will be added to the comments section of the Sustainability Assessment.</p> <p>Gypsy and Traveller site options</p> <p>Development associated with the development of sites to accommodate Gypsy and Traveller need would not fall within an identified use on the adopted Schedule of Rates. As such CIL would not apply and a possible positive effect would not result.</p> <p>Therefore in relation to Site Specific Question 1 the following assumptions will be made</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neutral effect (N) score will be recorded. <p>Residential Site Options and Gypsy and Traveller site options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <p>Sites that are within walking distance (480m, Policy HSC1 Lichfield District Council Local Plan Strategy) of existing open spaces (including play, amenity green space) may provide opportunities for people to improve their health and wellbeing.</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>Therefore in relation to Site Specific Question 2 the following assumptions will be made.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within 480m of more than one area of open space will have a significant positive (++) affect. • Sites that are within 480m of one area of open space will have a minor positive (+) affect. • Sites that are not within 480m of an area of open spaces will have a Neutral (N) affect. <p>Employment Site options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <p>Whilst it is possible that employees may choose to access green space close to their place of employment during the working day the location of employment sites and retail sites options are not considered likely to have an effect on Site Specific Questions 2 of this objective which relates directly to accessibility of greenspace.</p> <p>The following assumption will be made.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neutral effect (N) score will be recorded against Site Specific Questions <p>Residential and Employment Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 3.</p> <p>Improvements to open space provision, including play provision for key sites, in line with the Open Space Assessment are identified as infrastructure to be funded in whole or in part by CIL. Therefore development that falls within and identified use on the adopted Schedule of Rates will result in a possible positive effect, however the extent is uncertain.</p> <p>Development of a site that includes an existing area of open space could result in the loss of that asset depending on whether its retention is incorporated within the detailed design. Large-scale new housing site allocations could offer the opportunity for the creation of accessible open space provision within the development site. It is uncertain as it cannot be known until detailed design stage whether the open space would be incorporated or lost through development.</p> <p>Therefore in relation to Site Specific Question 3 the following assumptions will be made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that include an existing area/s of open space could have minor negative (-?) effect.

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All other sites will score a minor positive effect (+?) score will be recorded against Site Specific Questions 3. <p>Gypsy and Traveller Site Options Development associated with the development of sites to accommodate Gypsy and Traveller need would not fall within an identified use on the adopted Schedule of Rates. As such CIL would not apply and a possible positive effect would not result.</p> <p>Therefore in relation to Site Specific Question 3 the following assumptions will be made</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neutral effect (N) score will be recorded.
<p>13. To promote safe communities, reduce crime and fear of crime.</p>	<p>All types of Site Options The effect of new development on the reduction of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors which are not influenced by the location of development sites but through detailed design.</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1 and 2</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Questions 1 and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neutral effect (N) score will be recorded.
<p>14. Improve opportunities for prosperity and economic growth.</p>	<p>Residential Sites and Gypsy and Traveller Site Options</p> <p>Whilst housing development overall can contribute to economic growth, Sustainability Objective 14 relates to the link between, business growth and skills and forms the focuses of the following Site Specific Questions. It has therefore been assumed that the location of Residential and Gypsy and Traveller site options will not positively impact on the elements of economic growth identified within this objective.</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1, 2, 3, and 4.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Questions 1, 2, 3, and 4. If however the proposed housing site would lead to the loss of existing employment land a negative impact on sustainable economic growth could result. In recognition that the retail sector plays a role in the prosperity and growth also skills,</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>employment and business growth those housing sites that fall within either the Town Centre Boundary of Lichfield City Centre or Burntwood could result in a negative effect.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumptions have been made in relation to Site Specific Questions 1,2,3, and 4.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neutral effect (N) score will be recorded. • Sites that are currently in Existing Industrial Areas or currently being used for employment use would have a significant negative effect (--) score will be recorded. <p>Lichfield</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that fall within the Primary Retail Area of Lichfield City Centre a significant negative effect (--) score will be recorded, • Sites that fall within the Secondary Retail Area of Lichfield City Centre a minor negative effect (-) score will be recorded. <p>Burntwood</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that fall within the Primary Retail Area of Burntwood significant negative effect (--) score will be recorded against. <p>Employment Sites</p> <p>Site Specific Questions 1, 2, 3, and 4</p> <p>Employment sites by the nature of the allocation have the potential to result in a positive effect against Site Specific Questions 1, 2, 3, and 4 the extent of this effect will be unknown until detailed design stage and beyond.</p> <p>As such the following assumption will be made</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A significant positive effect reflecting the uncertain nature of the effect (Double +?).
<p>15. To enhance the vitality and viability of existing, city, town and village centres within the district.</p>	<p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1</p> <p>High quality development in and to the edge of both Lichfield and Burntwood could help to encourage their continued vitality and viability.</p>

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within and on the edge of Lichfield City Centre and Burntwood Town Centre will have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites outside Lichfield City Centre and Burntwood Town Centre will have a neutral effect (N) score against. <p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 2</p> <p>High quality development in and to the edge of the identified key settlements – Alrewas, Armitage with Handscare, Fazeley, Fradley, Shenstone and Whittington could help to encourage their continued vitality and viability.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within and on the edge of the five identified key settlements will have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites outside the five identified key settlements will have a neutral effect (N) score against. <p>Site Specific Question 3</p> <p>Residential Sites and Gypsy and Traveller Site Options</p> <p>High quality development in and to the edge of those settlements that have Neighbourhood Shopping Centre Local Plan Strategy 2008-2029 Policies Maps, Lichfield Inset 1 and Burntwood inset 3) will contribute and encourage their continued vitality and viability.</p> <p>Therefore the following assumption will be made in relation to Site Specific Question 3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within and on the edge of settlements with Neighbourhood Shopping Centres will have a significant positive (++) effect. • All other sites will have a neutral effect (N) score. <p>Employment Site Options</p>


Appendix I

SA Objectives	Assumptions
	<p>A site by site assessment has been made in regard to relationship between employment sites and Neighbourhood Shopping Centres.</p>
<p>16. Increase participation and improve access to education, skills-based training, knowledge and information, and lifelong learning.</p>	<p>The effect of new development in relation to participation and improved access to education and skills training will to a large extent be influenced by factors that will be addressed at detailed design stage and it is also noted that personal behaviour will also impact on this indicator.</p> <p>All types of Site Options</p> <p>Site Specific Question 1 and 2</p> <p>The following assumption has been made in relation to Site Specific Question 1 and 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neutral effect (N) score will be recorded.


APPENDIX J – LOCAL PLAN ALLOCATIONS SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL

Site Allocation Indicator's Cumulative Effects


Indicator 1: To promote biodiversity protection, enhancement and management of species and habitats




Indicator 2: To promote and enhance the rich diversity of the natural archaeological/geological assets, and landscape character of the district




Indicator 3: To protect and enhance buildings, features and areas of archaeological, cultural and historic value and their setting




Indicator 4: Create places, spaces and buildings that are well designed, integrated effectively with one another, respect significant views and vistas, and enhance the distinctiveness of the local character




Indicator 5: Maximise the use of previously developed land/ buildings and the efficient use of land




Indicator 6: Reduce the need to travel to jobs and services through sustainable integrated patterns of development, efficient use of existing sustainable modes of transport and increased opportunities for non-car travel




Indicator 7: To reduce, manage and adapt to the impacts of climate change




Indicator 8: To minimise waste and increase the reuse and recycling of waste materials




Indicator 9: Seek and improve air, soil and water quality




Indicator 10: To reduce and manage flood risk




Indicator 11: To provide affordable homes that meet local need




Indicator 12: Improve services and access to services to produce good health and wellbeing and reduce health inequalities




Indicator 13: To promote safe communities, reduce crime and fear of crime



Indicator 14: Improve opportunities for prosperity and economic growth



Indicator 15: To enhance the vitality and viability of existing, city, town and village centres within the District



Indicator 16: Increase participation and improve access to education, skills-based training, knowledge and information, and lifelong learning

